

# THE UNIVERSAL CENTRAL EXTENSION OF THE THREE-POINT $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ LOOP ALGEBRA

GEORGIA BENKART\* AND PAUL TERWILLIGER

ABSTRACT. We consider the three-point loop algebra,

$$L = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}, (t-1)^{-1}],$$

where  $\mathbb{F}$  denotes a field of characteristic 0 and  $t$  is an indeterminate. The universal central extension  $\widehat{L}$  of  $L$  was determined by Bremner. In this note, we give a presentation for  $\widehat{L}$  via generators and relations, which highlights a certain symmetry over the alternating group  $A_4$ . To obtain our presentation of  $\widehat{L}$ , we use the realization of  $L$  as the tetrahedron Lie algebra.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $\mathbb{F}$  will denote a field of characteristic 0. Consider the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ , and for an indeterminate  $t$ , the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{F}[t]$  localized at  $t$  and  $t-1$ :

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}, (t-1)^{-1}].$$

(Localization at any two  $t - \alpha_1, t - \alpha_2$  for distinct  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}$  results in an algebra isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}$ , so there is no loss of generality in assuming one value is 0 and the other is 1.) The *loop algebra* corresponding to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Lie algebra

$$L = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A},$$

with product  $[x \otimes a, y \otimes b] = [x, y] \otimes ab$ .

Our primary focus here is on central extensions of  $L$ , so we begin by recalling a few relevant definitions. A *central extension* of a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{K}, \pi)$  consisting of a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{K}$  and a surjective Lie algebra homomorphism  $\pi : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  whose kernel lies in the center of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Given central extensions  $(\mathcal{K}, \pi)$  and  $(\mathcal{K}', \pi')$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , by a *homomorphism* (resp. *isomorphism*) from  $(\mathcal{K}, \pi)$  to  $(\mathcal{K}', \pi')$  we mean a homomorphism (resp. isomorphism) of Lie algebras  $\varphi : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'$  such that  $\pi = \pi' \circ \varphi$ .

A central extension  $(\mathcal{K}, \pi)$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  is *universal* whenever there exists a homomorphism from  $(\mathcal{K}, \pi)$  to any other central extension of  $\mathcal{L}$ . A Lie algebra

---

*Date:* December 17, 2005.

\*Support from NSF grant #DMS-0245082 is gratefully acknowledged.

**Keywords.**  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra, universal central extension, tetrahedron Lie algebra, Onsager Lie algebra.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 17B37.

$\mathcal{L}$  possesses a universal central extension if and only if  $\mathcal{L}$  is perfect (i.e.  $\mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ ), and in this case, the universal central extension of  $\mathcal{L}$  is unique up to isomorphism. It is well-established that the universal central extension plays a crucial role in representation theory; one need only look at the examples of the affine, Virasoro, and toroidal Lie algebras for affirmation of this statement (see [Ka], [MP]).

The loop algebra  $L = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}$  is easily seen to be perfect; therefore,  $L$  has a universal central extension which we denote by  $(\widehat{L}, \pi)$ . Bremner [Br] has given a detailed description of  $(\widehat{L}, \pi)$ . He has shown that the center of  $\widehat{L}$  has dimension 2, and he has given an explicit basis and Lie bracket for  $\widehat{L}$ .

Our goal here is to give a presentation for  $\widehat{L}$  via generators and relations that highlights a certain symmetry over the alternating group  $A_4$ .

Our point of departure is the realization of  $L$  as the tetrahedron algebra given by Hartwig and Terwilliger.

**Definition 1.1.** [HT] Let  $\boxtimes$  denote the Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$  defined by generators  $\{x_{i,j} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{I}, i \neq j\}$ ,  $\mathbb{I} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , and the following relations:

(i) For distinct  $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$ ,

$$x_{i,j} + x_{j,i} = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

(ii) For mutually distinct  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{I}$ ,

$$[x_{i,j}, x_{j,k}] = 2x_{i,j} + 2x_{j,k}. \quad (1.3)$$

(iii) For mutually distinct  $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{I}$ ,

$$[x_{i,j}[x_{i,j}, [x_{i,j}, x_{k,\ell}]] = 4[x_{i,j}, x_{k,\ell}]. \quad (1.4)$$

We call  $\boxtimes$  the *tetrahedron algebra*.

**Theorem 1.5.** [HT] *The Lie algebras  $\boxtimes$  and  $L$  are isomorphic.*

We will obtain our presentation of  $\widehat{L}$  as follows. First we will display a central extension  $(\widehat{\boxtimes}, \pi)$  of  $\boxtimes$ , with  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$  defined by generators and relations. Then we will modify the Lie algebra isomorphism  $\sigma : \boxtimes \rightarrow L$  given in [HT] to obtain a homomorphism of Lie algebras,  $\widehat{\sigma} : \widehat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \widehat{L}$ , such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \boxtimes \\ \widehat{\sigma} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ \widehat{L} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & L \end{array} \quad (1.6)$$

Using this and the universality of  $(\widehat{L}, \pi)$ , we will argue that  $\widehat{\sigma} : \widehat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \widehat{L}$  is an isomorphism and thereby determine a presentation of  $\widehat{L}$  by generators and relations.

## 2. THE ISOMORPHISM $\sigma : \boxtimes \rightarrow L$

Let  $\{e, f, h\}$  denote the canonical basis for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  having product  $[h, e] = 2e$ ,  $[h, f] = -2f$ , and  $[e, f] = h$ . The basis

$$X = 2e - h, \quad Y = -2f - h, \quad Z = h$$

is more suitable for our purposes. Following [ITW] we call  $X, Y, Z$  the *equitable basis* for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , since

$$[X, Y] = 2X + 2Y, \quad [Y, Z] = 2Y + 2Z, \quad [Z, X] = 2Z + 2X.$$

There exists a unique  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra automorphism  $\prime$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $t' = 1 - t^{-1}$ . This automorphism has order 3 and satisfies

$$t'' = (1 - t)^{-1}, \quad tt' = t - 1, \quad (2.1)$$

$$t't'' = t' - 1, \quad t''t = t'' - 1, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $t'' = (t')'$ . The relations in (2.1) and (2.2) imply that the following is a basis for the  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$\{1\} \cup \{t^i, (t')^i, (t'')^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** ([HT, Thm. 11.5]) *There exists an isomorphism of Lie algebras  $\sigma : \boxtimes \rightarrow L$  that sends*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,2} &\rightarrow X \otimes 1, & x_{0,3} &\rightarrow Y \otimes t + Z \otimes (t - 1), \\ x_{2,3} &\rightarrow Y \otimes 1, & x_{0,1} &\rightarrow Z \otimes t' + X \otimes (t' - 1), \\ x_{3,1} &\rightarrow Z \otimes 1, & x_{0,2} &\rightarrow X \otimes t'' + Y \otimes (t'' - 1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $X, Y, Z$  is the equitable basis for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ .

## 3. A CENTRAL EXTENSION OF $\boxtimes$

In this section, we will construct a central extension  $(\widehat{\boxtimes}, \pi)$  of  $\boxtimes$ . Later we will show that this extension is universal. From now on, we identify the symmetric group  $S_4$  with the group of permutations of  $\mathbb{I}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Given a sequence  $(i, j, k)$  of mutually distinct elements of  $\mathbb{I}$ , there exists a unique  $\tau \in S_4$  such that  $\tau(0) = i$ ,  $\tau(1) = j$ , and  $\tau(2) = k$ . The sequence  $(i, j, k)$  is said to be *even* (resp. *odd*) whenever  $\tau \in A_4$  (resp.  $\tau \notin A_4$ ), where  $A_4$  is the alternating subgroup of  $S_4$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A partition  $p$  of  $\mathbb{I}$  into two (disjoint) subsets, each with two elements, is said to have *shape*  $(2, 2)$ .

The set  $P(\mathbb{I})$  of all partitions of  $\mathbb{I}$  of shape  $(2, 2)$  has cardinality 3.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$  denote the Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$  defined by generators

$$\{X_{i,j} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{I}, i \neq j\} \cup \{C_p \mid p \in P(\mathbb{I})\}$$

and the following relations:

(i) For  $p \in P(\mathbb{I})$ ,

$C_p$  is central.

(ii)

$$\sum_{p \in P(\mathbb{I})} C_p = 0.$$

(iii) For distinct  $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$ ,

$$X_{i,j} + X_{j,i} = C_p,$$

where  $p \in P(\mathbb{I})$  consists of  $\{i, j\}$  and its complement in  $\mathbb{I}$ .

(iv) For mutually distinct  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{I}$  such that  $(i, j, k)$  is even,

$$[X_{i,j}, X_{j,k}] = 2X_{i,j} + 2X_{j,k}.$$

(v) For mutually distinct  $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{I}$ ,

$$[X_{i,j}[X_{i,j}, [X_{i,j}, X_{k,\ell}]] = 4[X_{i,j}, X_{k,\ell}].$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *There exists a surjective homomorphism of Lie algebras  $\pi : \widehat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \boxtimes$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(X_{i,j}) &= x_{i,j} & i, j \in \mathbb{I}, i \neq j, \\ \pi(C_p) &= 0 & p \in P(\mathbb{I}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:* Compare the defining relations for  $\boxtimes$  and  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$  given in Definitions 1.1 and 3.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *For mutually distinct  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{I}$  such that  $(i, j, k)$  is odd, in the algebra  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$  we have*

$$[X_{i,j}, X_{j,k}] = 2X_{i,j} + 2X_{j,k} + 2C_p, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $p \in P(\mathbb{I})$  consists of  $\{i, k\}$  and its complement in  $\mathbb{I}$ .

*Proof:* The sequence  $(k, j, i)$  is even since  $(i, j, k)$  is odd. Therefore, by Definition 3.3 (iv),

$$[X_{k,j}, X_{j,i}] = 2X_{k,j} + 2X_{j,i}.$$

Evaluating this using (i)-(iii) of Definition 3.3, we obtain (3.6).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *The following subspaces of  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$  coincide:*

- (i) the kernel of  $\pi$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{Span}\{C_p \mid p \in P(\mathbb{I})\}$ ,
- (iii) the center of  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$ .

*Proof:* Set  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Span}\{C_p \mid p \in P(\mathbb{I})\}$ . We first show  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Ker}(\pi)$ . We have  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Ker}(\pi)$  by Lemma 3.4. To establish equality, observe that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an ideal in  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$ , and let  $\pi' : \widehat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \widehat{\boxtimes}/\mathcal{C}$  denote canonical surjection with kernel  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\pi'(C_p) = 0$  for  $p \in P(\mathbb{I})$ , it follows from Definition 3.3 and Lemma 3.5 that the elements  $\{\pi'(X_{i,j}) \mid i, j \in \mathbb{I}, i \neq j\}$  satisfy the defining relations (1.2)–(1.4) for  $\boxtimes$ . Therefore, there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\gamma : \boxtimes \rightarrow \widehat{\boxtimes}/\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\gamma(x_{i,j}) = \pi'(X_{i,j})$  for all distinct  $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$ . From the construction, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \boxtimes \\ \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \widehat{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \widehat{\boxtimes}/\mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

We may now argue

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &= \text{Ker}(\pi') \\ &= \text{Ker}(\gamma \circ \pi) \\ &\supseteq \text{Ker}(\pi), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Ker}(\pi)$ . Next we prove that the center  $Z(\widehat{\boxtimes}) = \mathcal{C}$ . We have  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq Z(\widehat{\boxtimes})$  by Definition 3.3 (i). To obtain the reverse inclusion, it suffices to show that the image of  $Z(\widehat{\boxtimes})$  under  $\pi$  is zero. This image is contained in  $Z(\boxtimes)$  since  $\pi$  is surjective. But  $\boxtimes$  is isomorphic to  $L$  and  $Z(L) = 0$ , so  $Z(\boxtimes) = 0$ . Therefore the image of  $Z(\widehat{\boxtimes})$  under  $\pi$  is zero and consequently  $Z(\widehat{\boxtimes}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . From these comments, we find that  $Z(\widehat{\boxtimes}) = \mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *The pair  $(\widehat{\boxtimes}, \pi)$  is a central extension of  $\boxtimes$ .*

*Proof:* The map  $\pi : \widehat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \boxtimes$  is a surjective homomorphism of Lie algebras whose kernel is contained in the center of  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. THE UNIVERSAL CENTRAL EXTENSION OF $L = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}$

In this section, we give a detailed description of the universal central extension  $(\widehat{L}, \pi)$  of  $L$ . In the next section we will use this description to define the map  $\widehat{\sigma} : \widehat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \widehat{L}$  mentioned in Section 1.

By results of Kassel [K] (see also [KL] and [BK]), the universal central extension of  $\mathcal{L} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  for any finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and any commutative, associative algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  with 1 is obtained from  $\mathcal{L}$  by adjoining the Kähler differentials modulo the exact forms of  $\mathcal{B}$ . This description enabled Bremner [Br] to show that the universal central extension of any  $n$ -point loop algebra over  $\mathfrak{g}$  has an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional kernel. The same argument works over any field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic 0. Applying this result to our loop algebra  $L = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}$ , we see that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \widehat{L}/L = 2$ .

An alternative description of  $\widehat{L}$  can be found in [ABG]. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the subspace of  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  spanned by the elements  $a \otimes b + b \otimes a$  and  $ab \otimes c + bc \otimes a + ca \otimes b$  for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \rangle = (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) / \mathcal{S}$ , and write  $\langle a, b \rangle = (a \otimes b) + \mathcal{S}$ . From the construction we know that

$$\langle a, b \rangle + \langle b, a \rangle = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\langle ab, c \rangle + \langle bc, a \rangle + \langle ca, b \rangle = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$\widehat{L} = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}) \oplus \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \rangle,$$

where  $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$  is central and

$$[x \otimes a, y \otimes b] = [x, y] \otimes ab + (x | y) \langle a, b \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Here  $(x | y)$  denotes the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . Thus, finding  $\widehat{L}$  amounts to computing  $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$  explicitly.

Using the relations (4.1), (4.2), it is not difficult to show, just as in the affine case (see [Ka], for example), that

$$\langle f^m, f^n \rangle = m \delta_{m+n,0} \langle f, f^{-1} \rangle \quad (4.3)$$

for  $f = t, t'$ , or  $t''$  and all integers  $m, n$ , where  $\delta$  is the Kronecker delta. Letting  $g = f'$  and using  $f' = 1 - f^{-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f^m, g^n \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \langle f^m, f^{-k} \rangle \\ &= m(-1)^m \binom{n}{m} \langle f, f^{-1} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for nonnegative integers  $m, n$ . From (2.2) we find that  $tt't'' = -1$ ; using this and (4.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle t'', (t'')^{-1} \rangle &= -\langle t'', tt' \rangle \\ &= \langle t, t't'' \rangle + \langle t', t''t \rangle \\ &= -\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle - \langle t', (t')^{-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from these computations that  $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$  is spanned by  $\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle t', (t')^{-1} \rangle$  and that

$$\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle + \langle t', (t')^{-1} \rangle + \langle t'', (t'')^{-1} \rangle = 0.$$

Since  $\widehat{L}/L$  has dimension 2, the space  $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$  has dimension 2. Consequently  $\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle t', (t')^{-1} \rangle$  form a basis for  $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$ .

The next result is now apparent.

**Theorem 4.5.** ([Br], [ABG]) *Let  $C$  denote a two-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}'$  denote a basis for  $C$  and define  $\mathfrak{c}''$  so that*

$$\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{c}' + \mathfrak{c}'' = 0.$$

*Then the following (i)–(iii) hold.*

(i) *There exists a Lie algebra*

$$\widehat{L} = L \oplus C$$

*with product*

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{L}, C] &= 0, \\ [x \otimes a, y \otimes b] &= [x, y] \otimes ab + (x | y) \langle a, b \rangle \end{aligned}$$

*for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $(x | y)$  is the Killing form for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , and where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C$  is  $\mathbb{F}$ -bilinear and satisfies*

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$	1	$t^j$	$(t')^j$	$(t'')^j$
1	0	0	0	0
$t^i$	0	0	$(-1)^i i \binom{j}{i} \mathfrak{c}$	$(-1)^{j+1} j \binom{i}{j} \mathfrak{c}''$
$(t')^i$	0	$(-1)^{j+1} j \binom{i}{j} \mathfrak{c}$	0	$(-1)^i i \binom{j}{i} \mathfrak{c}'$
$(t'')^i$	0	$(-1)^i i \binom{j}{i} \mathfrak{c}''$	$(-1)^{j+1} j \binom{i}{j} \mathfrak{c}'$	0

*for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

- (ii) *There exists a homomorphism of Lie algebras  $\pi : \widehat{L} \rightarrow L$  that has kernel  $C$  and acts as the identity on  $L$ .*
- (iii) *The pair  $(\widehat{L}, \pi)$  is the universal central extension of  $L$ .*

## 5. A HOMOMORPHISM $\widehat{\sigma} : \widehat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \widehat{L}$

**Lemma 5.1.** *There exists a unique Lie algebra homomorphism  $\widehat{\sigma} : \widehat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \widehat{L}$  specified by the following tables.*

$p$	Image of $C_p$ under $\widehat{\sigma}$
$\{0, 1\}\{2, 3\}$	$-4\mathfrak{c}''$
$\{0, 2\}\{1, 3\}$	$-4\mathfrak{c}$
$\{0, 3\}\{1, 2\}$	$-4\mathfrak{c}'$

$i$	$j$	Image of $X_{i,j}$ under $\hat{\sigma}$
1	2	$X \otimes 1 - 4\mathbf{c}'$
2	1	$-X \otimes 1$
2	3	$Y \otimes 1 - 4\mathbf{c}''$
3	2	$-Y \otimes 1$
3	1	$Z \otimes 1 - 4\mathbf{c}$
1	3	$-Z \otimes 1$
0	3	$Y \otimes t + Z \otimes (t - 1) + 4\mathbf{c}$
3	0	$-Y \otimes t - Z \otimes (t - 1) + 4\mathbf{c}''$
0	1	$Z \otimes t' + X \otimes (t' - 1) + 4\mathbf{c}'$
1	0	$-Z \otimes t' - X \otimes (t' - 1) + 4\mathbf{c}$
0	2	$X \otimes t'' + Y \otimes (t'' - 1) + 4\mathbf{c}''$
2	0	$-X \otimes t'' - Y \otimes (t'' - 1) + 4\mathbf{c}'$

where  $X, Y, Z$  is the equitable basis for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ .

*Proof:* It is routine to verify that the elements in the above tables satisfy the defining relations for  $\hat{\boxtimes}$  given in Definition 3.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *The diagram in (1.6) commutes.*

*Proof:* By Definition 3.3, the following is a generating set for  $\hat{\boxtimes}$ :

$$\{X_{i,j} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{I}, i \neq j\} \cup \{C_p \mid p \in P(\mathbb{I})\}.$$

We chase these generators around the diagram using the maps in Proposition 2.3, Lemma 3.4, Theorem 4.5(ii), and Lemma 5.1. For each generator the image under the composition  $\pi \circ \hat{\sigma}$  coincides with the image under the composition  $\sigma \circ \pi$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** *The Lie algebra homomorphism  $\hat{\sigma} : \hat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \hat{L}$  from Lemma 5.1 is an isomorphism.*

*Proof:* We first show that  $\hat{\sigma}$  is surjective. The map  $\pi : \hat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \boxtimes$  is surjective, and the map  $\sigma : \boxtimes \rightarrow L$  is an isomorphism, so the composite map  $\sigma \circ \pi : \hat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow L$  is surjective. Therefore by Lemma 5.2, the composition  $\pi \circ \hat{\sigma} : \hat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow L$  is surjective. The kernel of  $\pi : \hat{L} \rightarrow L$  is the space  $C$  from Theorem 4.5, and  $C$  is contained in the image of  $\hat{\sigma}$ , so  $\hat{\sigma}$  is surjective. We now argue that  $\hat{\sigma}$  is injective. As before, set  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Span}\{C_p \mid p \in P(\mathbb{I})\}$ . The map  $\pi : \hat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \boxtimes$  has kernel  $\mathcal{C}$  by Lemma 3.7, and the map  $\sigma : \boxtimes \rightarrow L$  is an isomorphism, so the composition  $\sigma \circ \pi : \hat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow L$  has kernel  $\mathcal{C}$ . By this and Lemma 5.2, the composition  $\pi \circ \hat{\sigma} : \hat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow L$  has kernel  $\mathcal{C}$ . Consequently, the kernel of  $\hat{\sigma}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{C}$ . From the first table of Lemma 5.1, and the fact that  $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}'$  form a basis for  $C$ , we find that the restriction of  $\hat{\sigma}$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  is injective. Therefore  $\hat{\sigma}$  is injective and hence an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *The central extension  $(\hat{\boxtimes}, \pi)$  of  $\boxtimes$  given in Corollary 3.8 is universal.*



*Proof:* By Lemma 5.2, the diagram in (1.6) commutes. The map  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism by Proposition 2.3, and  $\widehat{\sigma}$  is an isomorphism by Theorem 5.3. The result follows from this, since  $(\widehat{L}, \pi)$  is the universal central extension of  $L$ .  $\square$

## 6. THE DEFINING RELATIONS FOR $\widehat{\boxtimes}$ REVISITED

Definition 3.3 gives the defining relations for the Lie algebra  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$ . In this section, we re-express these relations, this time using a notation that makes explicit the role of the alternating group  $A_4$ .

We will view  $S_4$  as acting on  $\mathbb{I}$  from the right; this means that when applying a product  $\alpha\beta$ , we first apply  $\alpha$  and then  $\beta$ . We consider the following normal subgroup of  $S_4$ :

$$N = \{(01)(23), (02)(31), (03)(12), e\}.$$

This subgroup is contained in  $A_4$  and is therefore a normal subgroup of  $A_4$ . Let  $N'$  denote the set of nonidentity elements of  $N$ . For each  $\eta \in N'$  let  $[\eta]$  denote the partition of  $\mathbb{I}$  consisting of the orbits of  $\eta$ . Note that the map  $\eta \rightarrow [\eta]$  is a bijection from  $N'$  to  $P(\mathbb{I})$ . Two elements of  $A_4$ ,

$$\zeta = (01)(23), \quad \vartheta = (012),$$

play a distinguished role in our computations. The first belongs to  $N'$ , while the second one does not. Together they generate  $A_4$ .

**Theorem 6.1.**  *$\widehat{\boxtimes}$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has generators*

$$X_\alpha, C_\eta \quad \alpha \in A_4, \quad \eta \in N'$$

*and the following relations:*

(i) *For  $\eta \in N'$ ,*

$$C_\eta \text{ is central.}$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{\eta \in N'} C_\eta = 0.$$

(iii) *For  $\alpha \in A_4$ ,*

$$X_\alpha + X_{\zeta\alpha} = C_{\alpha^{-1}\zeta\alpha}.$$

(iv) *For  $\alpha \in A_4$ ,*

$$[X_\alpha, X_{\vartheta\alpha}] = 2X_\alpha + 2X_{\vartheta\alpha}.$$

(v) *For  $\alpha \in A_4$  and for  $\eta \in N'$ ,  $\eta \neq \zeta$ ,*

$$[X_\alpha, [X_\alpha, [X_\alpha, X_{\eta\alpha}]]] = 4[X_\alpha, X_{\eta\alpha}].$$

An isomorphism with the presentation in Definition 3.3 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_\alpha &\rightarrow X_{\alpha(0),\alpha(1)} & \alpha \in A_4 \\ C_\eta &\rightarrow C_{[\eta]} & \eta \in N'. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:* Up to notation, the above presentation of  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$  is the same as the one given in Definition 3.3.  $\square$

## 7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We conclude with some comments relating our results to the Onsager Lie algebra. This algebra was introduced in a seminal paper [O] in which the free energy of the two-dimensional Ising model was computed exactly. Since then it has been widely investigated by both the physics and mathematics communities in connection with solvable lattice models, representation theory, Kac-Moody Lie algebras, tridiagonal pairs, and partially orthogonal polynomials. In [P], Perk showed that the Onsager Lie algebra has a presentation by generators  $A, B$  and the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [A, [A, [A, B]]] &= 4[A, B] \\ [B, [B, [B, A]]] &= 4[B, A]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Omega$  (resp.  $\Omega'$ ) (resp.  $\Omega''$ ) denote the subalgebra of  $\boxtimes$  generated by  $x_{0,1}$  and  $x_{2,3}$  (resp.  $x_{0,2}$  and  $x_{1,3}$ ) (resp.  $x_{0,3}$  and  $x_{1,2}$ ). It was shown in [HT] that each of the Lie algebras  $\Omega, \Omega', \Omega''$  is isomorphic to the Onsager algebra, and that  $\boxtimes$  is their direct sum. Our results lead to a similar decomposition of  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$  as follows: Let  $\mathcal{O}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}'$ ) (resp.  $\mathcal{O}''$ ) denote the subalgebra of  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$  generated by  $X_{0,1}$  and  $X_{2,3}$  (resp.  $X_{0,2}$  and  $X_{1,3}$ ) (resp.  $X_{0,3}$  and  $X_{1,2}$ ). Using the commuting diagram (1.6) and the tables in Lemma 5.1, we find that each of the algebras  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}', \mathcal{O}''$  is isomorphic to the Onsager algebra, and that  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$  is the direct sum  $\mathcal{O} + \mathcal{O}' + \mathcal{O}'' + \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\mathcal{C} := \text{Span}\{C_p \mid p \in P(\mathbb{I})\}$  is the two-dimensional center of  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$ . Using the isomorphism  $\widehat{\sigma} : \widehat{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \widehat{L}$  in Theorem 5.3, we obtain a corresponding decomposition for the universal central extension  $\widehat{L}$  of the loop algebra  $L$ .

## REFERENCES

- [ABG] B.N. Allison, G. Benkart, and Y. Gao, Central extensions of Lie algebras graded by finite root systems, *Math. Ann.* **316** (2000), 499–527.
- [BK] S. Berman and Y. Krylyuk, Universal central extensions of twisted and untwisted Lie algebras extended over commutative rings, *J. Algebra* **173** (1995), 302–347.
- [Br] M. Bremner, Generalized affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras over localizations of the polynomial ring in one variable, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **37** (1) (1994), 21–28.
- [EO] A. Elduque and S. Okubo, Lie algebras with  $S_4$ -action and structurable algebras, preprint, [arXiv:math.RA/0508558](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.RA/0508558).
- [HT] B. Hartwig and P. Terwilliger, The Tetrahedron algebra, the Onsager algebra, and the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, submitted. [arXiv:math-ph/0511004](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0511004).
- [ITW] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, C. Weng, The equitable presentation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , *J. Algebra*, to appear.

- [Ka] V.G. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Third Ed., Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [K] C. Kassel, Kähler differentials and coverings of complex simple Lie algebras extended over a commutative ring, *J. Pure and Applied Alg.* **34** (1984), 265–275.
- [KL] C. Kassel and J.-L. Loday, Extensions centrales d’algèbres de Lie, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* **32** (1982), 119–142.
- [MP] R.V. Moody and A. Pianzola, *Lie Algebras with Triangular Decomposition*, Wiley, New York 1995.
- [O] L. Onsager, Crystal statistics. I. A two-dimensional model with an order-disorder transition. *Phys. Rev. (2)* **65** (1944), 117–149.
- [P] J. H. H. Perk, Star-triangle relations, quantum Lax pairs, and higher genus curves. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* **49**, 341–354. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WI 53706,  
USA

*E-mail address:* `benkart@math.wisc.edu`

*E-mail address:* `terwilli@math.wisc.edu`